SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-08-2178 TCEO DOCKET NO. 2007-1774-MSW

APPLICATION OF BFI WASTE	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
SYSTEMS OF NORTH AMERICA,	§	
INC., FOR A MAJOR AMENDMENT	§	\mathbf{OF}
TO TYPE I MSW PERMIT NO.	§	
1447A	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

TJFA, L.P.'s RESPONSES TO BFI WASTE SYSTEMS OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC's FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES, REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS, AND REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

TO: BFI Waste Systems of North America, LLC, by and through its attorneys of record, Mr. Paul Gosselink and Mr. John E. Carlson, Lloyd, Gosselink, Rochelle & Townsend, P.C., 816 Congress Ave., Suite 1900, Austin, TX 78701

COMES NOW, TJFA, L.P. ("TJFA") and pursuant to Rules 190 - 198 of the TEX. R. CIV.

P. and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") discovery rules submits its responses to BFI Waste Systems of North America, LLC's First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for Production of Documents and Things, and Requests for Admissions. The filing of these responses is not to be construed as a waiver of any objections served contemporaneously herewith nor a waiver of any legal privileges claimed. To the extent that discovery is ongoing in this case, TJFA specifically reserves its rights to change or supplement any of its responses as recognized by the Tex. R. Civ. P. and relevant regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

FRITZ, BYRNE, HEAD & HARRISON, PLLC

98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000

Austin, TX 78701 TEL: 512/476-2020 FAX: 512/477-5267

By: J.D. Head

State Bar No. 09322400

Bob Renbarger

State Bar No. 16768100

ATTORNEYS FOR TJFA, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

By my signature above, I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served this 18th day of August, 2008, via e-mail, facsimile transmission or U.S. First Class mail, to the following:

Mr. Paul G. Gosselink

Mr. John E. Carlson

Lloyd, Gosselink, Rochelle & Townsend, P.C.

816 Congress Ave., Suite 1900

Austin, TX 78701 TEL: 512/322-5800 FAX: 512/472-0532

E-MAIL: pgosselink@lglawfirm.com E-MAIL: jcarlson@lglawfirm.com BFI WASTE SYSTEMS OF NORTH

AMERICA, INC. and GILES HOLDINGS, L.P.

Mr. Steve Shepherd

Legal Counsel

Environmental Law Division (MC-173)

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

P.O. Box 13087

Austin, TX 78711-3087 TEL: 512/239-0464 FAX: 512/239-0606

E-MAIL: sshepher@tceq.state.tx.us

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Ms. Susan White Staff Attorney

Litigation Division (MC-173)

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

P.O. Box 13087

Austin, TX 78711-3087 TEL: 512/239-0454 FAX: 512/239-0606

E-MAIL: swhite@tceq.state.tx.us

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Ms. Christina Mann

Attorney

Office of Public Interest Counsel (MC-103) Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

P.O. Box 13087

Austin, TX 78711-3087 TEL: 512/239-4014 FAX: 512/239-6377

E-MAIL: cmann@tceq.state.tx.us

OFFICE OF PUBLIC INTEREST COUNSEL

Mr. Kevin W. Morse

Assistant Travis County Attorney

P.O. Box 1748 Austin, TX 78767 TEL: 512/854-9513 FAX: 512/854-4808

E-MAIL: kevin.morse@co.travis.tx.us

TRAVIS COUNTY

Ms. Holly C. Noelke Assistant City Attorney

City of Austin Law Department

P.O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767 TEL: 512/974-2630 FAX: 512/974-6490

E-MAIL: holly.noelke@ci.austin.tx.us

CITY OF AUSTIN

Ms. Meitra Farhadi

Assistant City Attorney

City of Austin

P.O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767 TEL: 512/974-2310 FAX: 512/974-6490

E-MAIL: meitra.farhadi@ci.austin.tx.us

CITY OF AUSTIN

Mr. Jim Blackburn Ms. Mary W. Carter Blackburn & Carter, P.C. 4709 Austin St. Houston, TX 77004

TEL: 713/524-1012 FAX: 713/524-5165

E-MAIL: jbb@blackburncarter.com E-MAIL: mcarter@blackburncarter.com

NORTHEAST NEIGHBORS COALITION: MARK MCAFEE; MELANIE MCAFEE; ROGER JOSEPH; DELMER D. ROGERS; WILLIAMS, LTD.; and PIONEER FARMS

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. TJFA objects to Instruction No. 1. TJFA will produce responsive documents at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.
- 2. TJFA objects to Definition No. 2 regarding "affiliate." Neither Texas Disposal Services, Inc. nor Texas Disposal Systems Landfill, Inc. is a party to these proceedings and the information requested is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

1. Please identify (by name, address, phone number and title) all persons who participated in answering these interrogatories or provided any documents responsive to the requests for production.

Answer:

J. D. Head Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000 Austin, TX 78701 512/476-2020

Attorney for TJFA.

Bob Renbarger Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000 Austin, TX 78701 512/476-2020

Attorney for TJFA.

Dennis Hobbs
P.O. Box 17126
Austin, TX 78760-7126
TEL: 512/421-1320

President of Garra de Aguila, Inc., TJFA's general partner.

2. Please provide the following information regarding your company as of the date of the jurisdictional hearing in this Contested Case Hearing (May 8, 2008): the names, titles and business addresses, phone numbers and e-mail addresses of any and all persons responsible for the management of your company; your physical office address or location (including any suite or office number); your physical mailing address; any post

office box mailing address used by your company; your company's main office telephone number; your main office facsimile number; and your company's website address.

Answer: Dennis Hobbs

P.O. Box 17126

Austin, TX 78760-7126

12200 Carl Rd.

Creedmoor, TX 78610 TEL: 512/421-1320 FAX: 512/243-4123

E-MAIL: dennis@tjfa-lp.com

TJFA has no company website address. Garra de Aguila, Inc. is the general partner of TJFA. Bob Gregory is a limited partner of TJFA. Dennis Hobbs is the director of Garra de Aguila, Inc. and president, vice president and secretary of Garra de Aguila, Inc.

3. Describe the nature of any legal, business or other relationships between TJFA, L.P. and each of the following persons or entities: Texas Disposal Systems, Inc., Texas Disposal Systems Landfill, Inc., Texas Landfill Management, LLC, Texas Organic Products, Garden-Ville, Garra de Aguila, Inc., Bob Gregory, Jim Gregory and Dennis Hobbs. This interrogatory specifically requests, but is not limited to, information regarding common ownership, management and control of any of the corporations, partnerships or entities listed.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this interrogatory as it pertains to Texas Disposal Systems, Inc., Texas Disposal Systems Landfill, Inc., Texas Landfill Management, LLC, Texas Organic Product, Gardenville, and Jim Gregory inasmuch as these inquiries are not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections:

Garra de Aguila, Inc. is the general partner of TJFA. Bob Gregory is a limited partner of TJFA. Dennis Hobbs is the director of Garra de Aguila, Inc. and president, vice president and secretary of Garra de Aguila, Inc.

4. List (by street address, city and county, and deed information such as volume and page number of deed in official county records or property ID number) all properties you have owned, either in whole or in part, in the past five (5) years. For each property listed in your answer, state the date of purchase; the purchase amount; the total acreage of the tract, the present use or uses of the tract (e.g., single-family residential, multi-family residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, etc.), and the names and addresses of any persons or business who reside on or operate a business on the tract.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information on properties not located in the direct vicinity of BFI's Sunset Farms landfill

inasmuch as such requests are overbroad, harassing and seek information not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, TJFA answers as follows: TJFA owns property at 5510 Blue Goose Road, Austin, Travis County, Texas. The deed volume and page number are Volume 11378, Page 166. The purchase date was November 19, 2004. The purchase amount was \$129,864.70. The total acreage is 11.27 acres. The property is used for grazing and the current lessee is Cecil Remmert. TJFA also owns property at 9900 Springdale Road, Austin, Travis County, Texas. The deed volume and page are Volume 820, Page 619. This property was purchased December 13, 2004 for an amount of \$133,628.36. The property comprises 5.67 acres and is for residential use. The lessee is Jeff Young.

5. For each property listed in your answer to Interrogatory No. 4 above, state the proximity of the property to the closest landfill; the name of the landfill and its owner and operator; whether the landfill was the subject of planned expansion at any time since you purchased the property; whether you sought or obtained party status in any contested case hearings involving that landfill since you purchased the property; and the TCEQ and SOAH Docket numbers for any such contested case proceedings.

Answer:

TJFA restates its objections to Interrogatory No. 4. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, the property on Blue Goose Road is located less than 100 feet from the BFI Sunset Farms landfill. The property on Springdale Road is located approximately 220 feet from the Waste Management Austin Community landfill. Both landfills were the subject of planned expansions at the time of purchase. TJFA has obtained party status in this proceeding and in SOAH Docket No. 582-08-2186 regarding Waste Management's Austin Community landfill application.

6. As a real estate investment company, have you calculated the expected costs, benefits and rate of return for your challenge to the application and various potential outcomes in this proceeding (e.g., permit granted, permit denied, special conditions imposed, etc.)? If so, provide the rate or rates of return you have calculated and how you calculated any such rate of return.?

Answer: TJFA objects to this interrogatory in that it requests information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, no.

7. Do you contend that the application for the vertical expansion of the Landfill fails to satisfy any TCEQ regulation that governs such applications (including but not limited to the agency's "MSW rules" found at 30 TAC §330.1 et seq.)? For any such alleged deficiency, please specify the portion or portions of the application you contend are deficient; the regulation or regulations you contend have not been satisfied or met; and why you contend the application fails to satisfy or meet each such regulation.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

8. For each alleged deficiency you have identified in your answer to Interrogatory No. 7 immediately above, do you contend that the draft permit cannot be cured by a technical revision or special condition such that the permit should be issued by the TCEQ? Please include in your answer the reason or reason why you contend that the draft permit cannot be cured by a technical revision or special condition.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

9. Do you contend that the application contains any "fatal flaws" not listed in your answer to Interrogatory No. 7 above that warrant denial of the permit amendment for the vertical expansion of the Landfill? (For the purposes of this interrogatory, "fatal flaw" means any legal basis for denying the application.) If so, specify each fatal flaw you contend that that the application contains; any statute, law, ordinance, regulation, guideline or standard you contain has not been met or satisfied; and the factual and legal bases for your contention that the alleged flaw is fatal to the application.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

10. Do you contend that, for the purposes of siting and operating an MSW landfill, the soil and groundwater characteristics and conditions at the TDS landfill facility in Creedmoor are different from the soil and groundwater characteristics and conditions at the Sunset Farms Landfill? If your answer is anything other than a categorical "no," describe in detail the differences between the two sites –including but not limited to any geological, geotechnical, geophysical, lithological, stratigraphical, hydrogeological and permeability characteristics and conditions – with respect to the siting and operation of an MSW landfill.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this interrogatory in that it requests information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. TJFA further objects that this interrogatory is harassing and burdensome. Finally, TJFA objects inasmuch as the TDS landfill soil and groundwater conditions and characteristics are irrelevant to this proceeding.

11. Do you contend that the Landfill or the proposed expansion of the Landfill will adversely impact or affect groundwater or surface water in the area of the facility? If so, please describe the specific mechanism by which you believe that groundwater or surface water will become adversely affected and the legal and factual bases for your contention.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

12. Do you contend that the existence of other municipal solid waste (MSW) facilities (whether existing or closed) adjacent to or nearby the Sunset Farms Landfill such as the Austin Community Landfill warrant denial of BFI's application? If so, please list any and all such facilities whose existence warrants denial of this application and the factual and legal bases for your contention that the application should be denied on such grounds.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

13. Do you contend that the Landfill or the proposed expansion of the Landfill are inconsistent or incompatible with current or anticipated land uses or land use patterns in the vicinity of the facility? If so, describe the land uses or land use patters you contend that the Landfill or proposed expansion is inconsistent or incompatible with and the legal and factual bases for your contention.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

14. Do you contend that the Landfill or the proposed expansion of the Landfill are inconsistent or incompatible with any existing or planned roadways or traffic patterns in the vicinity of the facility? If so, describe the roadway(s) or traffic patterns you contend that the Landfill or proposed expansion is inconsistent or incompatible with and the legal and factual bases for your contention.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

15. Do you contend that the applicant's compliance history warrants denial of the application? If so, please identify all events, occurrences or conditions (including but not limited to any Areas of Concern, Notices of Violation (NOVs), Notices of Enforcement (NOEs), final orders or any other matters or items related to the applicant's compliance history) you contend should be considered as a basis for denial of the permit amendment application and the legal and factual bases for your contention.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

16. Do you contend that the Landfill or the expansion of the Landfill will cause flooding, erosion or sedimentation – either at the facility or off of the site? If so, please describe the location where you contend flooding, erosion or sedimentation will take place, the nature of any flooding, erosion or sedimentation you contend will occur; the cause of any flooding, erosion or sedimentation you contend will take place at that location, the inadequacy of any flood, erosion or sediment control measures proposed in the application pertaining to any such location; and the legal and factual bases for your contention.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

17. Do you contend that the application fails to adequately provide for slope stability? If so, please describe the specific portion or portions of the application (whether text, appendixes, drawings, charts or calculations) you contend are inadequate, incorrect or

improper vis-à-vis slope stability and give the legal and factual bases for your contention that any such portions are inadequate, incorrect or improper.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

18. Do you contend that the application fails to provide for adequate financial assurance? If so, please describe the specific portion or portions of the application (whether text, appendixes, drawings, charts or calculations) you contend are inadequate, incorrect or improper vis-à-vis financial assurance and give the legal and factual bases for your contention that any such portions are inadequate, incorrect or improper.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

19. Do you contend that the application fails to adequately provide for the management of landfill gas? If so, please describe the specific portion or portions of the application (whether text, appendixes, drawings, charts or calculations) you contend are inadequate, incorrect or improper vis-à-vis landfill gas and management of landfill gas and give the legal and factual bases for your contention that any such portions are inadequate, incorrect or improper.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

20. Do you contend that DEFICIENCIES IN THE ?Landfill's liner warrants denial of the proposed expansion? If so, please describe any deficiency or inadequacy you contend exists with the Landfill's liner; how this liner is materially different from the liners of the TDS landfill in Creedmoor, the Williamson County Landfill near Hutto, or any other landfill in Texas that is situated in the Taylor or Ozan formations and does not have a geosynthetic or geocomposite liner system; why the liners of any such facilities are superior in terms of design, functionality or materials in your estimation to the Sunset Farms Landfill's liner; and give the legal and factual bases for your contentions.

Answer:

The answer to the part of this interrogatory referenced in the first sentence is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This part of the interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this part of the interrogatory at this time. With respect to the remaining information sought in this interrogatory, TJFA objects in that it requests information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

21. Do you contend that the proposed expansion of the Landfill will cause or create a nuisance or nuisance condition? If so, please identify each such nuisance or nuisance condition you contend the expansion will cause or create; the proposed design feature, condition or activity at the site you contend will cause or contribute to a nuisance or nuisance condition; and state the legal and factual bases for your contention.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

22. Do you contend that the application fails to adequately address control of landfill-related odors? If so, please identify the proposed design feature, condition or activity at the site you contend fails to adequately control landfill-related odors; the legal and factual bases for your contention; and what odor control measures, if any, you contend the applicant should or could implement in connection with the expansion to adequately control any such odors.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

23. Do you contend that the proposed Site Operating Plan (SOP) in the application, or any provision in the proposed SOP, fails to meet TCEQ's regulatory requirements for site operations or is otherwise inadequate? If so, please identify each provision in the proposed SOP you contend fails to meet the TCEQ's regulatory requirements and/or are otherwise inadequate; the regulation or regulations you contend have not been met; and the legal and factual bases for your contention.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

24. Do you contend that the applicant or any of its engineers or consultants made any incorrect or improper assumptions or calculations in its permit amendment application? If so, please identify (by Part/Attachment/Section/Subsection/Page Number) any assumption you contend was incorrect or improper and state the basis for your contention that the assumption was incorrect or improper.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature because it requests information that will not be known until after additional discovery is completed. This interrogatory will be supplemented by the filing of pre-filed expert testimony in accordance with Order No. 1. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no information in response to this interrogatory at this time.

25. Please identify (by name, address, phone number and title) all persons you intend to call as a witness at the hearing on the merits. (This interrogatory specifically includes all persons whom you reasonably anticipate to use as witnesses for impeachment or rebuttal purposes.) For each witness listed whom you anticipate will provide direct testimony, identify the referred issue(s) that the witness' direct testimony is anticipated to be relevant to.

Answer:

This interrogatory is premature inasmuch as TJFA has not identified all persons it intends to call as a witness at the hearing on the merits. Please refer to TJFA's Responses to Requests for Disclosure and Expert Designations.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS

- 1. Any organizational chart or charts portraying or describing:
 - a) TJFA;
 - b) Garra de Aguila, Inc.; and
 - c) how TJFA is related to any entity owned or controlled by TDS, TDSL or Bob Gregory.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request on relevance grounds to the extent it seeks information regarding TDS or TDSL, who are not parties to this proceeding. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

2. Deeds for any real property listed in your answer to Interrogatory No. 4 above.

Answer:

Subject to its earlier objection to Interrogatory No. 4, TJFA will produce responsive documents at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

3. Any list, chart or spreadsheet that lists any real properties owned by you.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request in that it seeks the production of documents that are not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relating to any issues referred to the ALJ by the Commission. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

4. Sales/purchase agreements or similar documents that reflect the date of purchase and purchase price of any real property listed in your answer to Interrogatory No. 4 above.

Answer:

Subject to its earlier objection to Interrogatory No. 4, TJFA will produce responsive documents at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

5. Appraisals of any real property listed in your answer to Interrogatory No. 4 above.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request in that it requests information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

6. Documents that contain or reflect anY cost/benefit analyses or rate of return calculations pertaining to your challenge of the application and/or the projected impact of your challenge on any real property owned by you.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request in that it requests information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

7. Your partnership agreement (plus any amendments thereto).

Answer:

TJFA will produce responsive documents at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

8. Your certificate of formation.

Answer: TJFA will produce responsive documents at the offices of Fritz, Byrne,

Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000,

Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

9. Your mission statement.

Answer: TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

10. Documents that describe or reflect your business model. investment strategies and investment goals – including but not limited to your business plan (if any) and all such documents that pertain to investments in properties located near landfills.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request in that it requests information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

11. Any comments and requests for hearing made by or on behalf of TJFA in any contested case hearing referenced or described in your answer to Interrogatory No. 5 above

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents related to any other landfill than BFI Sunset Farms facility in that it seeks the production of documents that are not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

12. Any proposals for decisions and final orders issued in any contested case hearing referenced or described in your answer to Interrogatory No. 5 above.

Answer: Subject to its objections to Interrogatory No. 5, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

13. Copies of any deposition transcripts for any depositions that were taken of any TJFA representative or employee in any contested case hearing referenced or described in your answer to Interrogatory No. 5 above.

Answer: Subject to its objections to Interrogatory No. 5, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

14. Copies of any deposition transcripts for any depositions that were taken of any testifying or consulting expert witness retained by TJFA in any contested case hearing referenced or described in your answer to Interrogatory No. 5 above.

<u>Answer</u>: Subject to its objections to Interrogatory No. 5, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

15. Copies of any expert witness reports produced by any testifying or consulting expert witness retained by TJFA in any contested case hearing referenced or described in your answer to Interrogatory No. 5 above.

Answer: Subject to its objections to Interrogatory No. 5, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

16. Transcripts of the hearing on the merits in any contested case hearing referenced or described in your answer to Interrogatory No. 5 above.

Answer: Subject to its objections to Interrogatory No. 5, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

17. Copies of any expert reports or transcripts of any deposition or hearings involving TDSL's landfill permit in which that site and facility are compared or contrasted in any way to the Sunset Farms site or facility.

Answer: TJFA objects in that the requested information is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no responsive documents.

- 18. Any and all correspondence (including e-mails and attachments thereto) between you or your representatives and employees on the one hand and any of the following persons or entities on the other hand regarding the permit amendment application, the draft permit, the proposed expansion, closure of the Landfill, or any alleged deficiency in the Landfill or its operation:
 - a) TCEQ;
 - b) Texas Department of Transportation
 - c) Texas Parks & Wildlife Department;
 - d) United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA);
 - e) Federal Aviation Administration
 - f) U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service;
 - g) Travis County or the City of Austin (or any department, board or commission of either);
 - h) Capital Area Council of Governments (CAPCOG);
 - i) any federal, state or local elected official;
 - j) any other party to this proceeding;

- k) any business competitor of the Applicant or Allied Waste/BFI (including but not limited to TDS, TDSL and Waste Management);
- l) Bob Gregory, Jim Gregory or Dennis Hobbs;
- m) Pierce Chandler or Bob Kier;
- n) Texas Campaign for the Environment;
- o) the Sierra Club (including but not limited to its Lone Star Chapter);
- p) Save Our Springs;
- q) Joyce Best;
- r) Trek English;
- s) Bluebonnet Elementary School or its administrators;
- t) any neighborhood association for any neighborhood in the vicinity of the Landfill; or
- u) any daily or weekly newspaper (including but not limited to the Austin American-Statesman and the Austin Chronicle).

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request as burdensome and harassing. With respect to subparts g) and j), any communication between legal counsel for protesting parties is privileged. Any communication with respect to subpart l) is privileged attorney-client communication. Subject to the foregoing objection and without waiving the objection, TJFA will produce responsive documents at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

19. Any and all internal TJFA correspondence (including e-mails, but excluding privileged communications between you and your lawyers) regarding the permit amendment application, the draft permit, the proposed expansion, closure of the Landfill, or any alleged deficiency in the Landfill or its operation:

Answer: TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

20. Any and all documents reflecting or referring to any and all citizen complaints that were made by anyone to the State of Texas (or any of its agencies), the federal government (or any of its agencies), Travis County or the City of Austin in connection with the Landfill or its operation in the past ten (10) years. (Note: If you or any testifying expert designated by you will be referring to or relying upon any such complaint made more than ten years ago for any purpose in this case, please produce copies of documents reflecting or referring to any and all such complaints.)

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request as burdensome and harassing. Moreover, the documents requested are obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome or less expensive. Subject to the foregoing objection and without waiving such objection, TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

21. Any and all studies, analyses or reports in your possession, custody or control that were prepared by or for you, TDS, TDSL, Bob Gregory, any entity owned or controlled by TDS or Bob Gregory, TCEQ, EPA, Travis County or any other party pertaining to the Landfill, its operation, the proposed expansion of the Landfill, closure of the Landfill, or the City's projected disposal capacity if the expansion application is either granted or denied.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request as it relates to TDS, TDSL, Bob Gregory or any entity owned or controlled by TDS or Bob Gregory. TDS and TDSL are not parties to this proceeding. Subject to the foregoing objection and without waiving such objection, TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

- 22. Any and all studies, analyses or reports in your possession, custody or control or constructive possession, custody or control that compare or contrast any aspect of the location, design or operation of the TDSL landfill in Creedmoor with any of the following MSW landfills:
 - a) the Sunset Farms Landfill;
 - b) the Austin Community Landfill in Travis County; or
 - c) the Williamson County landfill in Williamson County (near Hutto).

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request as seeking documents not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The TDSL landfill is not the subject of this proceeding. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no responsive documents to this request.

23. Any photos and videos of the Landfill or the areas surrounding the Landfill (including roadways within two miles of the landfill) taken by you, that you have provided to any expert, or that you intend to use as a demonstrative exhibit offer as evidence in this Contested Case Hearing.

Answer: TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

24. Any photos and videos of the Landfill or the areas surrounding the Landfill (including roadways within two miles of the landfill) that have been provided to you by any of the persons or entities listed in RFP No. 18 above.

Answer: Other than the documents included in the application, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

25. Any groundwater or surface water samples – or any reports of same – for any groundwater or surface water collected within one (1) mile of the Landfill. (This request specifically includes but is not limited to any such samples or reports relating to the Applied Materials property that is in your possession, custody or control.)

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request as burdensome and harassing. Moreover, the documents requested are obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce responsive documents at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

26. Any and all documents reviewed or prepared by you in connection with the proposed expansion of the Landfill (other than attorney-client privileged documents) or planned expansion of the Austin Community Landfill that is located immediately to the south of the Landfill

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request as it relates to Austin Community landfill in that the information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce responsive documents at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

Any and all studies or reports that were prepared by or for TJFA, TDS, TDSL, Bob Gregory, any entity owned or controlled by TDS or Bob Gregory, TCEQ, US EPA, Travis County, the City, or any other party pertaining to the Landfill or its operation or the Austin Community Landfill and its operation.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request insofar as it requests studies or reports prepared for TDS, TDSL or any entity owned or controlled by TDS or Bob Gregory. Moreover, TJFA objects to this request to the extent it seeks information regarding the Austin Community landfill inasmuch as such information is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

28. All reports, studies, analyses or similar documents showing that the Landfill or the Austin Community Landfill have adversely impacted land development, property use or land use within five (5) miles of the Landfill or that the Landfill or the Austin Community Landfill are otherwise incompatible with surrounding land uses.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request insofar as it requests documents related to the Austin Community landfill inasmuch as such information is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the admission of admissible evidence

in this proceeding. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

29. Any reports, studies, master growth plans, regional or area growth plans (whether or not so named), photographs, diagrams, charts and graphs that discuss growth trends of the area in the vicinity of the Landfill and/or of the City of Austin in general.

Answer: TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

30. All studies, reports, documents or correspondence discussing any impact of the Landfill or the Austin Community Landfill on area growth trends that have been generated or produced in the last ten (10) years.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request insofar as it requests information regarding Austin Community landfill inasmuch as such request is not relevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

Copies of any ordinances or regulations restricting, allowing or addressing the siting of landfills within Travis County, the City of Austin, or the City's ETJ.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request as burdensome and harassing. Moreover, the information sought is obtainable from some other source that it more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA has no responsive documents.

32. All reports, studies, presentations (Power Point type or otherwise) or other similar documents which have been generated or produced in the last ten (10) years specifying, describing or estimating the future landfill disposal or capacity needs of the City of Austin, Travis County and/or Central Texas.

Answer:

TJFA will provide responsive documents to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

- 33. All reports, correspondence or similar documents describing inspections of the Landfill by TCEQ, Travis County or the City of Austin or its contractors, including but not limited to:
 - a) inspections carried out during the construction of all projects associated with the site;
 - b) inspections by City of Austin's Watershed Protection department during routine inspections, and
 - c) complaint-driven inspections performed by TCEQ, Travis County or the City of Austin.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative or duplicative and is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce responsive documents at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

34. Records of all complaints made to TCEQ, Travis County or the City of Austin regarding the Landfill or its operation during the past ten (10) years, and all responses from the TCEQ, Travis County or the City regarding such complaints.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative and duplicative and is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

35. All documentation regarding any violation by the Landfill of any federal, state or local groundwater, surface water or air emission pollution standard (for any constituent).

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative and duplicative and is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

36. Any and all documents which identify, characterize or otherwise reflect, refer or relate to the quality of groundwater under the Landfill or under any property that is located within one (1) mile of the Landfill's permit boundary.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative and duplicative and is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

37. Any and all documents which identify, characterize or otherwise reflect, refer or relate to the flow of groundwater (direction, depth, rate of flow and hydrogeologic

characterizations) under the Landfill or under any property that is located within one (1) mile of the Landfill's permit boundary.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative and duplicative and is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

38. Any groundwater models, animations or simulations (whether computerized or not) of groundwater located at the Sunset Farms Landfill or within one (1) mile of the Landfill's permit boundary.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative and duplicative and is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

39. Any documentation of any operational noncompliance with applicable permits that has occurred at the Landfill within the last ten (10) years.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative and duplicative and is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

40. Any and all documents regarding, referring and/or relating to any site visits, inspections or investigations that were performed by any federal, state or local governmental investigator or inspector at the Landfill in the past ten (10) years. (Note: If you or any testifying expert designated by you will be referring to or relying upon any such site visits, inspections or investigations which occurred more than ten years ago for any purpose in this case, please produce copies of documents reflecting or referring to any and all such site visits, inspections or investigations.)

Answer:

TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative and duplicative and is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and less expensive. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

41. Any models, simulations or visualizations showing the Landfill at its projected elevation if the vertical expansion is shown.

Answer: TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

42. Any and all traffic or transportation projections, surveys, plans or counts you contend are applicable to the Landfill and the proposed expansion.

Answer: TJFA has no documents responsive to this request.

- 43. Any and all analyses, samples, test results, studies, memoranda, reports, charts, lists, drawings, sketches, calculations, models, simulations, charts, lists, photos, videos, correspondence, etc., in your possession, custody or control that pertain to any of the following issues in this case:
 - a) drainage design (existing or proposed);
 - b) vectors and/or vector control;
 - c) groundwater or surface water / contamination of groundwater or surface water;
 - d) odors and/or odor management at the site;
 - e) landfill gas;
 - f) slope stability;
 - g) spillage of waste or windblown waste;
 - h) groundwater monitoring;
 - i) operating life or rate of solid waste deposition;
 - i) closure and post-closure of the site;
 - k) management or disposal of special waste at the site;
 - 1) the owner, operator, responsible parties and qualified personnel at the landfill;
 - m) management or disposal of unauthorized wastes;
 - n) transportation/traffic in and around the site;
 - o) dust control and maintenance of access roads;
 - p) endangered or threatened species, and/or habitat;
 - q) adequacy of landfill cover;
 - r) applicant's compliance history and/or the calculation of same;
 - s) fires and/or adequacy of fire protection;
 - t) adequacy of financial assurance;
 - u) compatibility of the landfill with other land uses;
 - v) landfill buffer zones and/or landscape screening;
 - w) impacts or effects (whether past, current or prospective) of the landfill or the proposed expansion on the health of any person or persons, or on the general population;
 - x) operational hours of the landfill;
 - y) adequacy of erosion control;

- z) storage, treatment and disposal of contaminated water at the landfill; and
- aa) nuisance/nuisance conditions at or near the landfill;

Answer: TJFA will produce documents responsive to this request at the offices of Fritz, Byrne, Head & Harrison, PLLC, located at 98 San Jacinto Blvd.,

Suite 2000, Austin, Texas 78701 at a mutually agreeable time.

44. All documents or things you intend to offer as evidence at the contested case hearing.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request in that it is premature and TJFA has not, at

this time, determined documents and things intended to offer as evidence in the contested case hearing. Moreover, under the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, there is no requirement to provide demonstrative exhibits in the

absence of a court or SOAH order.

45. All documents or things you intend to use as demonstrative exhibits at the contested case hearing.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request in that it is premature and TJFA has not

determined all documents and things it intends to use as demonstrative evidence at the contested case hearing. Moreover, under the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, there is no requirement to provide demonstrative

exhibits in the absence of a court or SOAH order.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

1. Texas Disposal Systems (TDS) is a waste services company that does business in Central Texas.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS is not a party to this proceeding and the

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

2. TDS is a competitor of BFI's in the Central Texas market.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS is not a party to this proceeding and the

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

3. Bob Gregory is the President of TDS.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS is not a party to this proceeding and the

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

4. Bob Gregory has an ownership interest in TDS.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS is not a party to this proceeding and the

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

5. TDSL owns a landfill in Travis County.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS is not a party to this proceeding and the

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

6. TDSL's landfill competes with the Sunset Farms Landfill in the waste disposal business.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS is not a party to this proceeding and the

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

7. Bob Gregory is the President of TDSL.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS is not a party to this proceeding and the

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

8. Bob Gregory has an ownership interest in TDSL.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS is not a party to this proceeding and the

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

9. Garra de Aguila, Inc. is the general partner of TJFA.

Answer: Admit.

10. Bob Gregory is the President of Garra de Aguila, Inc.

Answer: Deny.

11. Dennis Hobbs is an officer or director of Garra de Aguila, Inc.

Answer: Admit.

12. Dennis Hobbs is an employee of TDS or TDSL.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS is not a party to this proceeding and the

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

13. Bob Gregory has a direct ownership interest in Garra de Aguila, Inc.

Answer: Admit.

14. Bob Gregory has an indirect ownership interest in Garra de Aguila, Inc.

Answer: Deny.

15. Bob Gregory is a limited partner of TJFA.

Answer: Admit.

16. Bob Gregory is the only limited partner of TJFA.

Answer: Admit.

17. Dennis Hobbs is the President of TJFA.

Answer: Deny.

18. Dennis Hobbs is an officer or director of TJFA.

Answer: Deny.

19. TJFA has no full-time employees of its own.

Answer: Admit.

20. TJFA has no separate office of its own.

Answer: Admit.

21. TJFA shares office space with TDS, TDSL or affiliates of TDS and TDSL.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS and TDSL are not parties to this proceeding and the information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

22. TJFA has no separate phone line of its own.

Answer: Admit.

23. TJFA has no separate fax line of its own.

Answer: Admit.

24. TJFA shares phone and fax lines with TDS, TDSL or affiliates of TDS or TDSL.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS and TDSL are not parties to this

proceeding and the information sought is not relevant nor reasonably

calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

25. TJFA has no separate e-mail addresses or accounts.

Answer: Deny.

26. To the extent that they use e-mail to conduct TJFA business, TJFA's representatives use e-mail addresses associated with TDS, TDSL or affiliates of TDS or TDSL.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS and TDSL are not parties to this

proceeding and the information sought is not relevant nor reasonably

calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

27. TJFA shares a post office box number (P.O. Box 17126, Austin, TX 78760) with TDS, TDSL and Garra de Aguila, Inc.

<u>Answer</u>: TJFA objects to this request to the extent it seeks information regarding

non-parties. The information sought regarding non-parties is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

TJFA admits its shares a post office box with Garra de Aguila, Inc.

28. TJFA receives funding from TDS, TDSL or affiliates of TDS or TDSL.

Answer: TJFA objects insofar as this request seeks information with regard to non-

parties. TJFA further objects in that it requests information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible

evidence.

29. TJFA wants BFI's application to expand the Sunset Farms Landfill to be denied.

Answer: Admit.

30. Denial of the expansion permit for the Sunset Farms Landfill would likely result in

increased volume of waste for disposal at the TDSL landfill.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as it seeks information regarding

non-parties to this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

31. Denial of the expansion permit for the Sunset Farms Landfill would likely result in

increased profits for TDSL.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as it seeks information regarding

non-parties to this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

32. It is in TDSL's economic interest that BFI's application be denied.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as it seeks information regarding non-parties to this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

33. It is in TDS's economic interest that BFI's application be denied.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as it seeks information regarding

non-parties to this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

34. It is in Bob Gregory's economic interest that BFI's application be denied.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. The information sought is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

35. TJFA purchased a tract of land within one mile of the Sunset Farms Landfill in 2004 (the 5510 Blue Goose Road tract).

Answer: Admit.

36. TJFA purchased the 5510 Blue Boose Road tract so that TJFA could qualify as an affected person or party in connection with BFI's application.

Answer: Deny.

37. TJFA purchased a tract of land within one mile of the Austin Community Landfill in 2004 (the 9900 Springdale Road tract).

Answer: TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as it seeks information regarding

the Austin Community landfill. The requested information is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

TJFA admits it owns the property at 9900 Springdale Road.

38. The Austin Community Landfill is operated by a business competitor of TDS and TDSL's.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS and TDSL are not parties to this

proceeding and this proceeding does not involve Austin Community landfill. The information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated

to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

39. TJFA has sought affected person or party status in a contested case hearing involving the expansion of the Austin Community Landfill.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as Austin Community landfill is not the subject of this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

40. It is in TDSL's economic interest that the application for the expansion of the Austin Community Landfill be denied.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDSL is not a party to this proceeding. The

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

41. It is in TDS's economic interest that the application for the expansion of the Austin Community Landfill be denied.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. TDS is not a party to this proceeding. The

information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

42. TJFA purchased a tract of land within one mile of the Williamson County Landfill.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. The William County landfill is not the

subject of this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

43. The Williamson County Landfill is operated by a business competitor of TDS and TDSL's.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. The William County landfill is not the

subject of this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

44. TJFA sought affected person or party status in a contested case hearing involving the expansion of the Williamson County Landfill.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request. The William County landfill is not the

subject of this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

45. TJFA purchased a tract of land within one mile of the Comal County Landfill.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as Comal County landfill is not the

subject of this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

46. The Comal County Landfill is operated by a business competitor of TDS and TDSL's.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as Comal County landfill is not the

subject of this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

47. TJFA sought affected person or party status in a contested case hearing involving the expansion of the Comal County Landfill.

TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as Comal County landfill is not the Answer:

subject of this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

48. Denial of the expansion permit for the Sunset Farms Landfill would have significantly greater impact on the profitability of TDSL than it will on the value of TJFA's land near the Landfill.

TJFA objects to this request in that TDSL is not a party to this proceeding. Answer:

The information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to

the discovery of admissible evidence.

49. Any increase in the value of the 5510 Blue Goose Road tract arising from denial of the expansion permit for the Sunset Farms Landfill would likely be less than the costs to TJFA of participating in this contested case hearing.

TJFA cannot admit or deny based on available information or knowledge. Answer:

A reasonable inquiry was made but the information known or easily

attainable is insufficient to enable TJFA to admit or deny.

50. Any increase in the value of TJFA's property arising from denial of the expansion permit for the Sunset Farms Landfill would likely be less than the costs to TJFA of participating in this contested case hearing.

TJFA cannot admit or deny based on available information or knowledge. Answer:

A reasonable inquiry was made but the information known or easily

attainable is insufficient to enable TJFA to admit or deny.

51. TJFA is a real estate investment company.

> Admit. Answer:

52. TJFA has never turned a profit in any calendar year.

> TJFA objects in that it requests information that is not relevant nor Answer:

> > reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence.

TJFA stands for "Texas Justice for All." 53.

> Deny. Answer:

54. Garra de Aguila, Inc. is a holding company.

Answer: Deny.

55. Garra de Aguila, Inc. has never turned a profit in any calendar year.

Answer: TJFA objects to this interrogatory in that it requests information that is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible

evidence.

56. TJFA and its affiliates have coordinated opposition to BFI's application with the Texas Campaign for the Environment.

Answer: TJFA objects to this inquiry inasmuch as Applicant's definition of

affiliates includes entities that are not parties to this proceeding and the information sought with regard to affiliates is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA denies.

57. TJFA and its affiliates have coordinated opposition to BFI's application with neighborhood associations of neighborhoods in the vicinity of the Sunset Farms Landfill.

Answer: TJFA objects to this inquiry inasmuch as Applicant's definition of

TJFA objects to this inquiry inasmuch as Applicant's definition of affiliates includes entities that are not parties to this proceeding and the information sought with regard to affiliates is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA admits, through its

attorneys, it is coordinating with counsel for party protestants.

58. TJFA and its affiliates have coordinated opposition to expansions of landfills other than the Sunset Farms Landfill with Texas Campaign for the Environment.

Answer: TJFA objects to this request inasmuch as it seeks information regarding

landfills not the subject of this proceeding. The information sought is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. TJFA further objects to any inquiry regarding its affiliates inasmuch as any affiliates are not parties to this proceeding and the information sought with regard to any affiliates is not relevant nor

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

59. TJFA and its affiliates have made efforts to discourage the development of a landfill by the City of Austin in Webberville.

Answer: TJFA objects to this inquiry inasmuch as Applicant's definition of affiliates includes entities that are not parties to this proceeding and the information sought with regard to affiliates is not relevant nor reasonably

calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA denies.

60. Representatives of TJFA and its affiliates have met privately with one or more Travis County officials (whether county judge or county commissioners or management-level staff) to discuss BFI's application.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this inquiry inasmuch as Applicant's definition of affiliates includes entities that are not parties to this proceeding and the information sought with regard to affiliates is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA denies.

61. Representatives of TJFA and its affiliates have met privately with one or more City of Austin officials (whether mayor or city council members or management-level staff) to discuss the Sunset Farms application.

Answer:

TJFA objects to this inquiry inasmuch as Applicant's definition of affiliates includes entities that are not parties to this proceeding and the information sought with regard to affiliates is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, TJFA denies.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF TEXAS §

§

COUNTY OF TRAVIS §

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority in and for said county and state, personally appeared Dennis Hobbs, as the duly authorized representative of TJFA, L.P., who is known to me, to be the person whose name is subscribed below, who, after being duly sworn by me, upon oath deposed and stated that he has read the above and foregoing Responses to BFI Waste Systems of North America, LLC's First Set of Interrogatories, and that all information and statements contained herein are within his own personal knowledge or are derived from TJFA, L.P.'s business records or other reliable sources and are true and correct.

Dennis Hobbs

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this <u>18th</u> day of August, 2008.

SARAH N. KUNZE
Notarry Public, State of Texas
My Commission Expires
JULY 8, 2012

Notary Public in and for the State of Texas